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BLACK HOLES

BH production requires “strong gravity” to change spacetime structure; masses or energies above fundamental Planck mass $M_D$.

$D = 4$ dimensions

- $M_D \approx 10^{19}$ GeV
- BHs confined to astrophysics

$(4+n)$ dimensions

- $M_D \approx$ TeV possible
- BHs $\rightarrow$ experimental particle physics

(LHC: $\sqrt{s} = 14$ TeV)
Cosmic Rays: $E \gtrsim 10^{19} \text{ eV} \Rightarrow \sqrt{s} \gtrsim 100 \text{ TeV}$

BHs $\rightarrow$ particle astrophysics

No black holes seen $\Rightarrow$

- stringent bounds

$M_D \approx 1 \text{ TeV} \Rightarrow$

- 100 BHs at Auger before LHC turns on
- 1st evidence for extra dimensions
- exp. study of Hawking evaporation
- Last stages of BH decay (qu. gravity)
Additional possibilities

$p$-branes

Warped geometries

Under-ice: AMANDA, IceCube

Radio: RICE, ANITA

Space-based: EUSO/OWL

These provide BH branching ratios, angular distributions, etc.
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Low-scale gravity

Consider gravity in \((4+n)\) dimensions, 
SM in 4 dimensions.

Compactification in \(n\) flat dimensions with length \(L\) gives

\[
F \sim \begin{cases} 
G_N \frac{m_1 m_2}{r^2}, & r \gg L \\
\frac{m_1 m_2}{M_{D}^{2+n} r^{2+n}}, & r \ll L
\end{cases}
\]

Observed gravitational strength \(\Rightarrow\)

\[M_{D}^{2+n} L^n \approx (10^{19} \text{ GeV})^2\]

Gravity is weak because

(a) \(M_D \sim 10^{19} \text{ GeV} \gg 1 \text{ TeV} \ (n = 0)\)

(b) \(M_D \sim 1 \text{ TeV}, \text{ but } L \text{ large in Planck units.}\)

Arkani-Hamed, Dimopoulos, Dvali (1998)
BHs in extra dimensions

For a Schwarzschild BH \((Q = J = 0)\),

\[
r_s(M_{BH}^2) = \frac{1}{M_D} \left[ \frac{M_{BH}}{M_D} \right]^{\frac{1}{1+n}} \left[ \frac{2^n \pi^{n-3} \Gamma \left( \frac{3+n}{2} \right)}{2 + n} \right]^{\frac{1}{1+n}}
\]

Myers, Perry (1986)

In classical GR, expect a BH to form when two partons pass within \(r_s(\hat{s})\) of each other:

\[
\hat{\sigma}(ij \to BH)(\hat{s}) \approx \pi r_s^2(\hat{s})
\]

\[
\pi r_s^2 \text{ requires } x_{\text{min}} \equiv \frac{M_{BH}}{M_D} \gtrsim \text{ a few.}
\]
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Cosmic Neutrinos

\[ \sigma(\nu N \to \text{BH}) = \sum_i \int_{(M_{\text{BH}}^{\min})^2/s}^1 dx \tilde{\sigma}_i(xs) f_i(x, Q) \]

For \( M_D = M_{\text{BH}}^{\min} = 1 \text{ TeV} \) and \( n = 1, \ldots, 7 \) from below.

JF, Shapere (2001)

\( \sigma \) large:
- Sum over partons, including gluon
- No small couplings
- \( \nu \) has \( x = 1 \)

Relatively insensitive to \( M_{\text{BH}}^{\min} \) (see below).
Length scales

Vertical atm. depth: 10 mwe
Horizontal atm. depth: 360 mwe

- $pN \rightarrow BH$: Hopeless
- $\nu N \rightarrow BH$: uniform at all atm. depths

Best signal is quasi-horizontal, deep showers: maximizes signal, uses atmosphere to remove proton, nucleus background.
Far inclined showers (thousands per year)
- Flat and thin shower front
- Narrow signals
- Time alignment

Deep inclined showers (~few per year?)
- Curved and thick shower front
- Broad signals

FIG. 6. Schematic representation of a UHE air shower, and of its placement with respect to the ground and the Auger array. A “far inclined” shower is likely to be due to a hadronic cosmic ray, whereas a “deep inclined” shower can only be caused by a neutrino.

Coutu, Bertou, Billoir (1999)
Rates

\[ N = \int dE_\nu N_A \frac{d\phi}{dE_\nu} \sigma(E_\nu) A(E_\nu) T \]

where

\[ N_A = 6.022 \times 10^{23} \]

\[ \frac{d\phi}{dE_\nu} = \text{source flux of neutrinos} \]

\[ A = \text{acceptance in cm}^3\text{we} \]

\[ T = \text{running time of experiment} \]
Fluxes

Guaranteed: $\pi$ photoproduction

$$p + \gamma_{\text{CMB}} \rightarrow n + \pi^+ \rightarrow n + \mu^+ + \nu$$

Choose most conservative: Protheroe, Johnson.
Showers may be detected by ground arrays and air fluorescence.

**Current:** AGASA, Hires

**Future:** Pierre Auger Observatory

Quasi-horizontal shower acceptance for Auger ground array (solid), AGASA (dashed), Hires (dotted).
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Lower bounds on $M_D$ from absence of black holes at AGASA and Hires. $M_{\text{BH}}^{\text{min}} = M_D$.

Anchordoqui, JF, Goldberg, Shapere (2001)

No events seen ⇒ for $n \geq 4$, $M_D \gtrsim 1.5 - 2.0$ TeV, most stringent bounds to date.
Future Prospects: Auger

Number of black holes expected at the Auger ground array for $n = 7$. $M_{\text{BH}}^\text{min} = M_D$. 
Comparison with LHC

Auger sensitivity (3 years) \[ n = 7. \]

LHC events (100 fb\(^{-1}\))

- LHC predictions sensitive to \( M_{\text{BH}}^{\text{min}} \)
- No Auger BHs, \( x_{\text{min}} \gtrsim 5 \Rightarrow \) No LHC BHs

Of course, we could see events! …
BH vs. SM

BH rates may be 1000 times SM rate. But

- large BH $\sigma \Rightarrow$ large rate, and
- $\phi$ large $\Rightarrow$ large rate.

However, consider Earth-skimming neutrinos:

For Earth-skimmers,

- $\phi$ large $\Rightarrow$ large rate, but
- large BH $\sigma \Rightarrow$ rate suppressed

Rates alone eliminate SM explanation (and almost all other non-SM explanations, too).
\[ N_{\text{QH}} \propto \phi^\nu (\sigma^\nu_{\text{CC}} + \sigma^\nu_{\text{BH}}) \quad N_{\text{ES}} \propto \phi^\nu \frac{\sigma^\nu_{\text{CC}}^2}{(\sigma^\nu_{\text{CC}} + \sigma^\nu_{\text{BH}})^2} \]

Quasi-horizontal shower (dashed) and Earth-skimming neutrinos (dotted) in 5 years.
BH signatures

BH rest lifetime is

$$\tau \sim \frac{1}{M_D} \left( \frac{M_{BH}}{M_D} \right)^{(3+n)/(1+n)} \sim 10^{-27} \text{ s}$$

Even with boost $\gamma \sim 10^7$, all BHs evaporate effectively instantaneously.

BH temperature is $\sim 100$ GeV.

Average multiplicity is

$$\langle N \rangle \approx \frac{M_{BH}}{2T_H} \propto \left( \frac{M_{BH}}{M_D} \right)^{2+n/(1+n)}$$

leptonic : hadronic $\sim 1 : 5$

In contrast,

$\nu_eN \rightarrow eX \Rightarrow$ EM (80%) + hadronic (20%)

$\nu_\mu N \rightarrow \mu X \Rightarrow$ nothing + hadronic (20%)
CONCLUSIONS

- BHs: a rare opportunity to consider super-Planckian energies.

- Naturally probed at the energy frontier: UHE Cosmic Rays

- Constraints on TeV gravity:

\[ M_D = 1 \text{ TeV} \Rightarrow 100 \text{ BHs at Auger} \]