DARK MATTER



Jonathan Feng, UC Irvine

SLAC 50th Anniversary Celebration 24 August 2012



REMINISCENCES

On this happy occasion, it's a privilege to begin with some brief remarks about my connection to SLAC.

I was a particle theory graduate student at SLAC from 1990-95, roughly the middle of the era we are celebrating.

MY FIRST WEEK AT SLAC



1990 Nobel Prize in Physics: Friedman, Kendall and Taylor

MY LAST MONTH AT SLAC



1995 Nobel Prize in Physics: Perl and Reines

SLAC THEORY 1992



HIGHLIGHTS

 SLAC fostered an atmosphere in which students were expected to learn something about everything. In fact, there was no escaping it! Everyone was housed on one floor, with students in an open public area near the mailboxes.

Some personal highlights:

- Seminars and Talks
 - 1 hr elsewhere = 2 hrs at SLAC
 - The Bj Journal Club



Meetings

- SLAC Summer Institutes
- Workshop on Physics and Experiments with Linear e⁺e⁻ Colliders, Hawaii, 1993



Figure 9. A time history of the polarization measured by the Compton Polarimeter at the IP during a representative two week period.

Courses

1 each quarter, covering a variety of advanced topics. E.g., cosmology hot off the presses!



 SLAC's 50-year record of nurturing junior scientists is certainly an achievement worth celebrating today

DARK MATTER

The topic of dark matter sits at the interface of astrophysics, cosmology, and particle physics and joins together the cosmic and energy frontiers



- Particle Dark Matter
- Experimental Probes
- Future Prospects

PARTICLE DARK MATTER



- We have learned a lot about the Universe in recent years
- There is now overwhelming evidence that normal (atomic) matter is not all the matter in the Universe:

Dark Matter: $23\% \pm 4\%$ Dark Energy: $73\% \pm 4\%$ Normal Matter: $4\% \pm 0.4\%$ Neutrinos: $0.2\% (\Sigma m_v/0.1 eV)$

 To date, all evidence is from dark matter's gravitational effects; to learn more, we'd like to see it in other ways

DARK MATTER CANDIDATES

- Unfortunately, we don't know what its other properties are, and there are many possibilities
- Masses and interaction strengths span many, many orders of magnitude. But some candidates are better motivated than others



THE WEAK MASS SCALE

• Fermi's constant G_F introduced in 1930s to describe beta decay

 $n \rightarrow p e^- \overline{v}$

• $G_F \approx 1.1 \cdot 10^{-5} \text{ GeV}^{-2} \rightarrow \text{ a new}$ mass scale in nature

 $m_{weak} \sim 100 \text{ GeV}$

• We still don't understand the origin of this mass scale, but every attempt so far introduces new particles at the weak scale



THE WIMP MIRACLE



 Remarkable coincidence: both particle physics and cosmology point to the 100 GeV scale for new particles

EXPERIMENTAL PROBES

Correct relic density \rightarrow Efficient annihilation then



Efficient scattering now (Direct detection)

DIRECT DETECTION

- WIMP properties
 - m ~ 100 GeV
 - local density ~ 1 per liter
 - velocity ~ 10⁻³ c
 - < 1 interaction per kg per year</p>
- Can look for normal matter recoiling from WIMP collisions in ultra-sensitive detectors placed deep underground
- An area of rapid progress and great interest on two fronts



WEAK INTERACTION FRONTIER

- Results typically normalized to DM-proton cross sections
- Many models with WIMP mass ~ 100 GeV are being probed NOW





LOW MASS FRONTIER

Some experiments already claim a signal! E.g., collision rate should change as the Earth goes around the Sun \rightarrow annual modulation



Drukier, Freese, Spergel (1986)

DAMA/LIBRA: 8.9 σ signal with T \approx 1 year, maximum \approx June 2





A small sample of the many possibilities...

POSITRONS









POSITRON SIGNALS



Solid lines are the astrophysical bkgd from GALPROP (Moskalenko, Strong)

ARE THESE DARK MATTER?

- The shape of the energy spectrum is consistent with WIMPs; e.g., Kaluza-Klein dark matter
- Unfortunately, the flux is a factor of 100-1000 too big for a thermal relic; requires
 - Enhancement from particle physics
 - Alternative production mechanism
- At this point, pulsars are a more likely explanation

Zhang, Cheng (2001); Hooper, Blasi, Serpico (2008) Yuksel, Kistler, Stanev (2008); Profumo (2008) Fermi-LAT Collaboration (2009)



PHOTONS

Dark Matter annihilates in <u>GC, dwarf galaxies</u> to a place <u>photons</u>, which are detected by <u>Fermi, HESS, VERITAS, ...</u> some particles an experiment



PHOTON SIGNALS

- Continuum: $X X \rightarrow f f \rightarrow \gamma$ (Monoenergetic lines also possible)
- For some annihilation channels, bounds exclude light thermal relics





NEUTRINOS





PARTICLE COLLIDERS

LHCb ATLAS

ALICE

LHC: $E_{COM} = 7-14$ TeV, [Tevatron: $E_{COM} = 2$ TeV,]

CMS

WHAT THE LHC ACTUALLY SEES

- E.g., $\tilde{g}\tilde{g}$ pair production
 - Each $\tilde{g} \rightarrow dark matter \chi$
 - 2 dark matter particles escape detector
 - missing momentum





FUTURE PROSPECTS

- Question: Are we most likely to discover dark matter through direct detection, indirect detection, or at particle colliders?
- Answer: Yes!

We need all three (and also astrophysical probes). Why? Many answers: At one level, we have several signals already, but none is compelling. We need cross-checks: extraordinary claims require extraordinary evidence

DM COMPLEMENTARITY

- Direct and indirect detection: even future experiments with strong signals will be unable to determine the detailed properties of dark matter: is it SUSY? Is it extra dimensions?
- Particle colliders: can't discover DM



Lifetime > 10^{-7} s \rightarrow 10^{17} s ?

FUTURE PROSPECTS: THE NEXT 50 YEARS

If WIMPs are a significant component of dark matter, progress will come in 3 stages:

I: Discovery: we will see signals by more than one method

II: We will then enter an initial era of precision DM studies; this will strengthen the case for dark matter discovery, e.g., mass measurements should all agree, and provide information about particle properties

III: We will then enter an era of DM astronomy

COLLIDERS AND DIRECT DETECTION



Baltz, Battaglia, Peskin, Wizansky (2006)

Green (2007)

COMPARISON TELLS US ABOUT LOCAL DARK MATTER DENSITY AND VELOCITY DISTRIBUTIONS

24 Aug 12

COLLIDERS AND INDIRECT DETECTION



$$\frac{d\Phi_{\gamma}}{d\Omega dE} = \sum_{i} \underbrace{\frac{dN_{\gamma}^{i}}{dE}\sigma_{i}v\frac{1}{4\pi m_{\chi}^{2}}}_{\text{Particle}} \underbrace{\int_{\psi}\rho^{2}dl}_{\text{Astro-}}$$

Physics

Gamma ray fluxes factorize

COLLIDERS WILL DETERMINE PARTICLE PROPERTIES, ALLOW ONE TO PROBE ASTROPHYSICAL DISTRIBUTIONS ON GALACTIC SCALES

Physics

DM PRODUCTION ON COSMOLOGICAL SCALES



- Particle physics → dark matter abundance prediction
- Compare to dark matter abundance observation
- How well can we do?

RELIC DENSITY DETERMINATIONS



% level comparison of predicted Ω_{hep} with observed Ω_{cosmo}

IDENTIFYING DARK MATTER



CONCLUSIONS

- Dark Matter is central to both cosmology and particle physics
- LHC is running, direct detection, indirect detection, and astrophysical probes are improving rapidly – this field is being transformed now
- In the next 50 years, who knows what will happen, but SLAC will undoubtedly play a central role in the exciting era ahead

